

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAR 21 2006

Law Offices of

CHAPMAN AND CUTLER LLP
Intellectual Property Department

111 West Monroe Street, Chicago, Illinois 60603

Telephone 1-312-845-3000 FAX 1-312-701-2361
Direct Dial 1-312-845-3413

FACSIMILE COVER PAGEThis transmission consists of 6 page(s) including cover page.

Please call (312) 845-3734 if you have any problems with this transmission.

Date: Tuesday, March 21, 2006To: Maurina T. RACHUBAGROUP ART UNIT: 3723Firm/Company: USPTOFax Number: 571-273-8300From: Jane S. Berman, Reg. No. 43,494Matter Number: 1715671Serial No. U.S. Patent App. Ser. No. 10/825,491Extension: 3413

Comments:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT, ATTACHED.

Jane S. Berman

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: The information contained in this facsimile transmission is confidential information which may contain information that is legally privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. The information is intended solely for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile transmission in error, please notify us immediately by telephone to arrange for the return of the original transmission to us.

Receipt Confirmed By: _____

MAR 21 2006

PATENT APP. SER. NO. 10/825,491

ATTY. DOCKET NO. 1715671

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Yoshiyuki Hatano et al.

Serial No.: 10/825,491

Filing Date: April 15, 2004

For: METHOD FOR PROCESSING
CHAMFERING OF EYEGLOSS LENS
AND APPARATUS FOR PROCESSING
THE SAME

Examiner: Maurina T. Rachuba

Group Art Unit: 3723

Confirmation No.: 8573

FILED VIA FACSIMILE TO 571-273-8300

Mail Stop AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Greetings:

The following is filed in timely response to the non-final Office Action mailed on March 2, 2006, wherein the Examiner required restriction to one of the following Groups of claims:

Group I: Claims 1, 2, 5 and 6.

Group II: Claims 3, 4, 7 and 8.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims beginning on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 4 of this paper.

2023787.01.02
1715671